

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,010	05/02/2001	Ioana M. Rizoiu	BI9485P	5692
33197 7590 02/27/2004 STOUT, UXA, BUYAN & MULLINS LLP 4 VENTURE, SUITE 300;			EXAMINER	
			SHAY, DAVID M	
IRVINE, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	11
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

EXAMINER

DATE MAILED:

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY:
a) will expire 3 months from the date of the final Office action (including extensions of time granted).
b) appires either (1) three months from the mail date of the final Office action, or (2) on the mail date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of the final Office action.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed $\frac{2/8/20 \circ 3/2}{2}$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance.
1. The proposed amendment to the claim and/or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: hungage added at the lock of claims 31 rances new users. Limitation addult claims 29+31 in conjunction with the limitations of claims 48-52+55-1959 regulation are also new users.
Newly proposed or amended claimwould be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
Claim allowed:
Ctalms objected to:
Claims rejected: 1-4,6-15,17-21, 23-35, 43.7-120
Claims rejected: 1-4,6-15,17-21, 23-35, 437-120 However;
Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1-4,6-15,17-21, 23-35, 43.7-126 However; Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
Claims rejected: 1-4,6-15,17-21, 23-35, 43.7-120 However; Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
Claims rejected: 1-4,6-15,17-21, 23-35, 43.7-120 However; Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER **GROUP 330**